Start-to-End (S2E) Simulations for the XFEL

J.P. Carneiro, W. Decking, M. Dohlus, B. Faatz, N. Golubeva, K. Flöttmann, Y. Kim, T. Limberg, E. Saldin, E. Schneidmiller, F. Stulle, M. Yurkov

In collaboration with: P. Emma (SLAC) and P. Piot (FNAL)

Introduction:

X-ray FELs will be the first accelerator projects with key performance depending exponentially on beam quality:



 $1 \left(I_A \gamma^3 \sigma_r^2 \lambda_u \right)$

 $4\pi \hat{I}K$

 $\sqrt{3}$

-G: Power gain length Peak Current σ,: Transverse beam size

Thus, the reliable understanding of beam dynamics is of paramount importance.

Simulation Tools:

Injector & space charge dominated sections:

ASTRA or PARMELA (cylindrically symmetric bunch; space charge computed using a cylindrical mesh). Point-like particles.

- Bending systems (bunch compressors): CSRtrack (Gaussian macro-particles for simulation of Coherent
- Synchrotron Radiation fields from first principles) or elegant (1-D model. pointlike particles).

Emittance-Dominated Transport

elegant (takes into account geometric wakes (TESLA cavities) and resistive wakes). Point-like particles. SASE-FEL:

GENESIS or FAST

Results of TESLA XFEL S2E calculations

Start-to-End Simulations

Beam dynamics for the high intensity bunches of a SASE FEL driver linac is dominated by selfinduced fields:

- Space Charge Fields - Coherent Synchrotron Radiation Fields - Wake Fields

As all these depend on details of the longitudinal bunch profile and there is no 'memory-erasing' device like a damping ring, we need to trace the bunch from cathode to undulator.

Benchmarking Efforts:

Code Comparison for Simulations of Photo-Injectors C. Limborg SLAC, Y.K. Batygin SLAC, J.-P. Carneiro, K. Floettmann DESY, L. Giannessi, M. Quattromini Ente Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie l'Energia e l'Ambiente, M. Boscolo, M. Ferrario, V. Fusco, C. Ronsivalle Published at PAC 2003

ICFA Mini-Workshop on CSR Calculation Benchmarking, Zeuthen 2002

ICFA Mini-Workshop on Benchmarking of Start-to-End Calculations, Zeuthen 2003

 Required Beam Parameters for the XFEL project with 1.0 Å X-ray wavelength

 Beam Energy ≥ 20.0 GeV
 Transverse Slice Normalized RMS Emittance ≤ 1.4 μm

 Peak Current ≥ 5.0 kA
 Slice Relative RMS Energy Spread ≤ 0.0125%



Different Compression Parameter Settings to Reduce Jitter Sensitivity



GENESIS Calculation Result (for peaked longitudinal distribution)



 Vertical corrector Focusing I Defocusing quadrupole

Unc	dulator	description	for	GENESIS	calculations

Topics for further investigation using S2E simulations:

[*					
Parameters	Symbol	Value	Unit		
Undulator segment length	L,	5	m		
Undulator cell length	L,	6.1	m		
Undulator gap	g	10	mm		
Undulator period (SASE1)	l _u	48	mm		
Quadrupole optical length		192	mm		
Quadrupole gradient at 20 GeV	Q	20	T/m		







Radiated power along the bunch at saturation

Jitter: 'S2E' Study of Linac for TESLA XFEL , P. Emma Scan gun-laser timing and charge







Responsible Author: Torsten.Limberg@desy.de, Oct 2003





Increase uncorrelated energy spread to cure instabilities:

Spread to cure instabilities: Produce a FEL type modulation of the beam in the optical wavelength range by a laser pulse acting on the beam in an undulator. Afterwards the beam goes through a bunch compressor where these coherent energy modulations are quickly dissipated, leading to an effective "heating" of the beam. A similar mechanism takes place in storage ring FELs.

A numerical also pulse for the target mg. (Let A numerical stample for TTF2 (possibly for the XFEL): The undulator with ten periods, a period length 3 cm, and a peak field 0.49 T is located in front of BC1. A fraction of power in the second harmonic (λ = 0.52µm) of the Md:VLF laser is subcupied from the Photoinjector laser system and is transported to the beam 0.5 mm (Rayleigh length is 1.5 m) and a power of 300 kW, the amplitude of energy modulation will be about 20 keV (rms energy spread is smaller by V2).









Start-to-End (S2E) Simulations

J.P. Carneiro, W. Decking, B. Faatz, K. Flöttmann, Y. Kim, T. Limberg, F. Stulle In collaboration with: P. Emma (SLAC) and P. Piot (FNAL)

Topics for further investigation using S2E simulations:

Jitter:

'S2E' Study of Linac for TESLA XFEL, P. Emma



CSR driven Instabilities:



Set up timing jitter budget, compare LCLS and TESLA XFEL

Parameter	Symbol	LCLS	XFEL ₂	Unit
Gun timing jitter	Δt_0	0.80	1.5	psec
Initial bunch charge	$\Delta Q/Q_0$	2.0	(10)	%
mean L0 rf phase	φ_0	0.10		deg
mean L1 rf phase	φ_1	0.10	0.08	deg
mean Lh rf phase 3.9-GHz &	φ_h	0.50		h-deg
mean L2 rf phase	φ_2	0.07		deg
mean L3 rf phase	φ_3	0.15	(1.0)	deg
mean L0 rf voltage	$\Delta V_0/V_0$	0.10	0.08	%
mean L1 rf voltage	$\Delta V_1/V_1$	0.10		%
mean Lh rf voltage	$\Delta V_k/V_k$	0.25	0.30	%
mean L2 rf voltage	$\Delta V_2/V_2$	0.10		%
mean L3 rf voltage	$\Delta V_3/V_3$	0.08	0.09	%





Gain curve for LCLS



Gain curve for XFEL

Space Charge Driven Instability:

E. Schneidmiller: Gain Curve for TTF-2 and Consequences



Total gain versus initial modulation wavelength

What happens next?

Assume initial modulation at the "optimal" wavelength to be 10-3. This results in 30 % density modulation at a wavelength of 10µm after BC2.

Consequences: · Emittance growth in last dipole(s) of BC2

· LSC in BC2 to undulator section. For a final energy of 1 GeV the impedance is |Z|/Z0 = 200. That means about 4 MeV energy modulation (±2sigma). Also, local energy spread is growing.

Conclusion: for reliable operation of the facility one should keep initial modulations well below 10-3 level. Or suppress amplification.

What should we do?

Full S2E required (incl. plasma oscillations at low energy, CSR in BCs, other wake fields). Studies of noise sources in the gun. Laser pulse should be as smooth as possible. One might even refuse the concept of flat-top pulse with small rise/fall time (which is good for projected emittance, but not necessarily for central slices).



Increasing Uncorrelated Energy Spread to Suppress Instability

- Maximum gain is very sensitive to the local energy spread. Instability in TTF2 linac could be strongly suppressed if the initial energy spread would be 15-20 keV.
- LCLS: A super-conducting wiggler (at 4.5 GeV) is going to be used to control energy spread. This method does not work at relatively low energies.
- We suggest another method: FEL type modulation of the beam in optical wavelength range by a laser pulse in an undulator. Then the beam goes through the bunch compressor where these coherent energy modulations are quickly dissipated, leading to the effective "heating" of the beam. Similar mechanism takes place in storage ring FELs.

A numerical example for TTF2 (possibly for DESY-XFEL):

The undulator with ten periods, a period length 3 cm, and a peak field 0.49 T is located in front of

BC1. A fraction of power in the second harmonic ($\lambda = 0.52\mu m$) of the Nd:YLF laser is outcoupled from the photoinjector laser system and is transported to the undulator. For a transverse size of the laser beam 0.5 mm (Rayleigh length is 1.5 m) and a power of 300 kW, the amplitude of energy modulation will be about 20 keV (rms energy spread is smaller by $\sqrt{2}$).

- First complete S2E simulations for XFEL are done (Zeuthen benchmarking example)
- Need further study on the impact of CSR and space charge driven instabilities
- Will use S2E simulation to optimize jitter tolerances